

Higher order terms in the contraction of SO(3,2)

W. Smilga

Isardamm 135 d, D-82538 Geretsried, Germany

e-mail: wsmilga@compuserve.com

The contraction of a spin-1/2 representation of the de Sitter group SO(3,2) yields a translation operator that consists of the usual momentum operator plus a second order term, the “momentum spin” as described by F. Gürsey. The contribution of momentum spin to the kinematics of a multiparticle system in a tangential space of anti de Sitter space is analyzed. It is shown that it can be described by a perturbation term with the structure of the interaction term of quantum electrodynamics. An evaluation of the corresponding coupling constant reproduces Wyler’s heuristic formula for the electromagnetic coupling constant.

12.20.-m, 11.30.Ly, 02.20.Qs, 12.90.+b

I. INTRODUCTION

The de Sitter group SO(3,2) has been used for a long time as the group of basic symmetry transformations within a model of the universe that is defined by the anti de Sitter space. The Poincaré group is then “in some sense, a limiting case” of the de Sitter group within a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of a given point P of anti de Sitter space if the “de Sitter radius” R approaches infinity. This limiting case has been mathematically formulated by E. İnönü and E. P. Wigner [1] in 1953 by the method of group contraction.

This paper takes up a result that has been obtained three decades ago by several authors [2], [3] who studied the contraction of the de Sitter SO(3,2) group and applied it to a multiparticle system in a tangential space to the de Sitter space.

Let l_{ab} , $a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, be representations of the infinitesimal generators of SO(3,2) by operators in a quantum mechanical state space and let $l_{\mu 4}$ be those operators that in the contraction limit converge towards the momentum operators p_μ of the Poincaré group. Then $l_{\mu\nu}$ are the operators of the Lorentz subgroup with $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Group contraction assumes that the expectation values of $l_{\mu 4}$ become very large so that for the amplitudes between states ϕ and ϕ' the following relation is valid

$$\langle \phi | l_{\mu 4} | \phi' \rangle \gg \langle \phi | l_{\nu \rho} | \phi' \rangle. \quad (1)$$

As a consequence of the commutation relations of SO(3,2), the operators $l_{\mu 4}$ can then be approximated by the translation operators p_μ of the Poincaré group $P(3, 1)$.

E. İnönü and E. P. Wigner ([1], see also F. Gürsey [2]) have performed the contraction process by rescaling the operators $l_{\mu 4}$

$$\Pi_\mu = \frac{1}{R} l_{\mu 4} \quad (2)$$

and then defining Poincaré momenta by

$$p_\mu = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_\mu. \quad (3)$$

With these definitions the commutation relations of $l_{\mu 4}$ are

$$[\Pi_\mu, \Pi_\nu] = \frac{-i}{R^2} l_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

and, therefore,

$$[p_\mu, p_\nu] = 0. \quad (5)$$

F. Gürsey [2] has formulated the analogue of eq. (2) for spin-1/2 representations

$$\Pi_\mu = \frac{1}{R} l_{\mu 4} + \frac{1}{2R} \gamma_\mu \quad (6)$$

where γ_μ are the usual Dirac matrices. (See also C. Frønsdal et al [3].) The γ -term was named “momentum spin” by F. Gürsey. If we measure the momentum in units of $1/R$ we can drop this factor and rewrite (6) in the form

$$\Pi_\mu = l_{\mu 4} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\mu. \quad (7)$$

For $R \rightarrow \infty$ $l_{\mu 4}$ will grow proportionally to R and converge to p_μ . γ_μ then becomes a second order term compared to $l_{\mu 4}$. In a more realistic application of anti de Sitter space as a physical model of spacetime, R is large but nevertheless finite. In this situation p_μ can be used as an approximation to Π_μ with γ_μ as a second order correction to p_μ .

Assuming a large but finite R , the following analysis will be based on an approximative translation operator of the form

$$t_\mu = p_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\mu. \quad (8)$$

We will deliberately ignore any other higher order contributions and determine the effect of the second order term γ_μ within the kinematics of a spin-1/2 particle system.

In the preceding lines, we have used the term of spacetime in order to establish the connection to the “historical” application of de Sitter symmetry. This does not imply that we will make any assumptions about the symmetry of spacetime in the large. In the following de Sitter symmetry is assumed only as a symmetry of (angular) momentum space.

II. AN INVARIANT OPERATOR OF A MULTIPARTICLE SYSTEM

We start from a system of spin-1/2 particles in a tangential spacetime with a Minkowski structure. The multiparticle state space is given by the direct product of one-particle state spaces, which in turn are defined by momentum eigenstates that satisfy the Dirac equation. We will call these particles for short Dirac particles and thereby mean massive, structure less, lepton-like particles.

It is obvious that we can describe our multiparticle state space without loss of generality equally well by the direct product of two-particle states that belong to irreducible representations of P(3,1) (assuming an even number of particles).

A well-known “invariant” operator of P(3,1) is the modulus $p_\mu p^\mu$ of the translation operator. It is invariant under all operations of P(3,1) and can, therefore, be represented by a fixed c-number within a given irreducible representation of P(3,1). A well-known consequence of this fact is, for example the Klein-Gordon equation. This property is not restricted to single particles but is also true for any isolated system of particles.

Consider now the operator $j_{ab}j^{ab}$, $a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ (summation over a, b), where j_{ab} are spin-1/2 representations of the infinitesimal generators of SO(3,2). For an isolated system, which is described by an irreducible representation of SO(3,2), application of this operator again delivers a fixed c-number. We evaluate this operator for a multiparticle system by using (8) as an approximation for $j_{\mu 4}$ and taking (1) into account, collecting terms of the magnitude R^2 and R and ignoring terms of lower magnitude. Our multiparticle state space in the tangential spacetime will serve as a 0th-order approximation in a perturbation expansion. We then obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & p_\mu p^\mu + 2p_\mu p'^\mu + p'_\mu p'^\mu \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu p^\mu + \gamma_\mu p'^\mu + \gamma'_\mu p^\mu + \gamma'_\mu p'^\mu) \\ & + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which is identical to the modulus of the total translation operator

$$T_\mu = t_\mu + t'_\mu + \dots \quad (10)$$

in this approximation that we are considering.

For an irreducible system this operator must deliver a fixed c-number. In other words, the modulus of the total translation operator is a constant-of-motion:

$$T_\mu T^\mu = \text{const.} \quad (11)$$

Constants-of-motion are a useful means to study the internal kinematics of a physical system. So let us see.

Since the translation operator commutes with the total momentum P_μ , its modulus $P^\mu P_\mu$ is also a constant. This enables us to separate the momentum terms that are quadratic in p_μ from (9). (Later we will find a more explicit justification for this step.) Therefore, we obtain another constant expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_\mu(p^\mu + p'^\mu + \dots) \\ & + \gamma'_\mu(p'^\mu + p^\mu + \dots) \\ & + \dots \\ & = \text{const.} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here we have terms that represent the operators of a Dirac equation of individual particles and other terms - like $\gamma_\mu p'^\mu$ - that provide for a connection between pairs of particles. Whereas the former belong to a Poincaré covariant description of a multiparticle system in Minkowski spacetime, the latter can be understood as a perturbation to the Poincaré covariant system. So we rearrange the terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_\mu(p^\mu + a^\mu) \\ & + \text{similar terms for the other particles} \\ & = \text{const.} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with the perturbation term

$$a^\mu = \sum p'^\mu \tag{14}$$

(summation over all particles except the first). We will analyze the effect of the term a^μ within the framework of a perturbation approach.

III. STRUCTURE OF TWO-PARTICLE STATES

Since the perturbation term $\gamma_\mu a^\mu$ is basically a two-particle operator, we will have to make use of two-particle states. So let us spend a short look at its general structure (ignoring spin variables).

Let

$$|\mathbf{P}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3 p}{p_0} \frac{d^3 p'}{p'_0} C(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') |\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'\rangle \tag{15}$$

be a two-particle state with momentum P of a two-particle representation of $P(3,1)$ with $P^2 = M^2$ in a state space $\mathcal{H}_M$. The two-particle states $|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'\rangle$ belong to the direct product of one-particle states $|\mathbf{p}\rangle$.

With $p = k - q$, $p' = k + q$, $2k = P$ we can rewrite

$$|\mathbf{P}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3 q}{q_0} \tilde{C}(\mathbf{q}) |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}\rangle. \tag{16}$$

From

$$p^2 = p'^2 = m^2, \tag{17}$$

where m is the particle mass, and

$$P^2 = (p + p')^2 = M^2 \tag{18}$$

we obtain

$$q^2 = m^2 - \frac{1}{4}M^2, \tag{19}$$

$$q_0^2 = m^2 - \frac{1}{4}M^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 \tag{20}$$

and

$$kq = 0. \quad (21)$$

Conditions (19) to (21) express the fact that $|\mathbf{P}\rangle$ is a state of an two-particle representation characterized by the total mass M .

We can formulate the state (15) also in terms of wave functions

$$e^{-iPx} = \int \frac{d^3q}{q_0} \tilde{C}(\mathbf{q}) e^{-i(k-q)x} e^{-i(k+q)x}, \quad (22)$$

which are obtained by formally multiplying the ket-states $|\mathbf{P}\rangle$, $|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}\rangle$ by its associated bra-states $\langle x|$.

The momenta p and p' of each term under the integral in (15) adds up to P . This “entanglement” of momenta within two-particle states in $\mathcal{H}_M$ will be essential for the results that we will obtain.

IV. FORMULATION IN FOCK SPACE

Let us now return to a multiparticle system. We formulate it with the help of standard Fock space methods. The “free” part of our system is easily converted into a Fock space formulation following the usual “quantization” of the Dirac field (see [4] or any text book on quantum field theory). The field operator of the Dirac field (taken from this reference) has the form

$$\psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int d^3p \left(b_s(\mathbf{p}) u_s(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx} + d_s(\mathbf{p})^\dagger v_s(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx} \right). \quad (23)$$

A similar expression defines the Dirac adjoint operator $\bar{\psi}(x)$. $b_s^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$, $b_s(\mathbf{p})$ are electron emission and absorption operators, $d_s^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$, $d_s(\mathbf{p})$ are the corresponding operators for positrons. They satisfy the usual anticommutation relations of the Dirac field.

As a first attempt we represent our two-particle perturbation terms $\gamma_\mu p'^\mu$ in Fock space in the following form

$$\int d^3x d^3x' \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) \bar{\psi}(x') p'^\mu \psi(x'). \quad (24)$$

This Fock space operator is not yet adapted to its immediate insertion into a perturbation calculation. Remember that a quantum mechanical perturbation calculation requires the combination of a perturbation term with a projection operator onto the basic state space. Therefore, we still have to incorporate a suitable projection mechanism onto our two-particle basic.

We will achieve this by collecting only those terms of (24) that contribute if we evaluate this operator for two-particle states with momentum P of a given (irreducible) two-particle state space $\mathcal{H}_M$.

Consider the following contribution to (24)

$$\dots \bar{b}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \gamma_\mu b(\mathbf{p}) \bar{b}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{q}') p'^\mu b(\mathbf{p}') \dots \quad (25)$$

If we evaluate this operator for a two-particle state, then only such terms with $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}'$ will be involved, as a consequence of momentum entanglement within two-particle states.

This is true for every state of $\mathcal{H}_M$ with a given momentum P . And since every two-particle state of $\mathcal{H}_M$ can be represented by a superposition of two-particle momentum eigenstates, it is generally valid. So we can drop the restriction to a fixed P and collect all contributions that belong to the same $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$. Hence, we can write

$$\dots \bar{b}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \gamma_\mu b(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q}) \dots \quad (26)$$

with

$$\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q}) = \int dV(p') \bar{b}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{q}) p'^\mu b(\mathbf{p}'), \quad (27)$$

where $dV(p')$ indicates a summation over all contributions that belong to the same $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$. An analogous consideration is valid for positron and mixed terms.

Let us analyze the meaning of $\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ in more detail. If we evaluate (26) for a two-particle state, the second particle will contribute a complex amplitude given by the expectation value of $\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ that acts as a multiplicative weight to the expectation value of the first particle term. This weight depends on $\mathbf{q}$ and fully describes the contribution of a

second particle to the total expectation value. As long as our focus is on the first particle, then all we need to know about other particles are the complex weights that apply to the expectation values of particle one. This fact simplifies the treatment of a single particle within an ambient multiparticle system. To keep track of the weighting factors that apply to each “transition” $p \rightarrow p + q$ in (26) we need a suitable “bookkeeping” system.

We can establish such a bookkeeping system by introducing an auxiliary Fock space with operators that emit and absorb quanta with momentum $\mathbf{q}$. If we prepare a state in this Fock space by applying an emission operator multiplied by a complex amplitude onto the vacuum state, then a later application of an absorption operator will redeliver this amplitude. This is exactly what we need.

We have some freedom in doing this, as long as the system is able to keep track of the amplitudes of the momenta $\mathbf{q}$. So let us replace the operator $\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ of (27) by a new operator $a^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ of our bookkeeping system

$$\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q}) \rightarrow e a^\mu(\mathbf{q}). \quad (28)$$

We have to insert a - so far unknown - normalization factor e , because the commutation relations that we will introduce below define a normalization of a^μ , whereas (27) defines a different normalization of $\tilde{a}^\mu$. The determination of e in the next section will involve a detailed examination of the integration volume in (27).

We define the following commutation relations between a_μ and its adjoint operator $a_\mu^\dagger$

$$[a^\mu(\mathbf{k}), a^\nu(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger] = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (29)$$

Then $a^{\mu\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$ are emission operators and $a^\mu(\mathbf{k})$ absorption operators for quanta with momentum $\mathbf{k}$.

Following the usual procedure in the “quantization of the radiation field” (see e.g. [4]) we define the operators

$$A^j(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{k^0 \sqrt{2}} (a^j(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx} + a^j(\mathbf{k})^\dagger e^{ikx}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (30)$$

and

$$A^0(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{k^0 \sqrt{2}} i (a^0(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx} + a^0(\mathbf{k})^\dagger e^{ikx}). \quad (31)$$

k^0 shall be determined by condition (20) if these operators are evaluated within two-particle states. (In the “free radiation field” k^0 is “on-shell”: $k^0 = |\mathbf{k}|$.)

If we add the spacetime dependencies to the emission and absorption operators in (26), as prescribed by (22), we obtain

$$\dots \bar{b}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) e^{i(p+q)x} \gamma_\mu b(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx} a^\mu(\mathbf{q}) e^{-iqx} \dots \quad (32)$$

Note that the correct spacetime dependency of a^μ is obtained from (27).

After inserting the spin functions $u_s(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_s(\mathbf{p})$ these terms and the corresponding positron and mixed terms add up to a Fock operator in the form

$$e \int d^3x : \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) : A^\mu(x), \quad (33)$$

where $::$ stand for normal ordering of emission and absorption operators.

The bookkeeping system has enabled us to give our perturbation term the same structure as the interaction term of quantum electrodynamics (QED) with the constant e acting as a coupling constant. Therefore, the full apparatus of QED is available to analyze the effect of this term in a perturbation calculation. The result of such an analysis is well-known and strongly suggests that a Dirac particle within our SO(3,2) model shows properties of an electrically charged particle.

Let us come back to the separation of the quadratic terms in (9) from those that are linear in p : Our perturbation term provides the exchange of momenta between two particles without changing the total momentum. Therefore, also the square of the total momentum is conserved. This justifies the separation. It also adds to our understanding why it is possible to observe small terms (linear in R) in the presence of large terms (quadratic in R).

The standard formulation of QED introduces the interaction term by the postulation of gauge invariance. The latter is achieved by adding a new “gauge field” that couples to the electron field. Despite the great success of the gauge principle it does not really provide us with an insight into the interaction process itself. We, on the other hand,

have explicitly constructed an interaction term from known elements of the electrons Fock space and also have defined the bookkeeping field in terms of these elements. This gives us a full understanding of the mathematical and physical nature of this interaction term.

Unlike the standard formulation, where the coupling constant enters as a free parameter that has to be determined by the experiment, our approach does not leave room for any free parameter. This means that the coupling constant e is determined by the theory and, therefore, should be calculable.

V. ESTIMATE OF THE COUPLING CONSTANT

This coupling constant is defined by the normalization of $\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ in (27) relative to the operator $a^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ whose normalization is given by (29). This ratio can - in principle - be determined by correctly “counting” all contributions to (27) - in other words: by a careful analysis of the volume element of the integral in (27).

More than 30 years ago A. Wyler [8] discovered that the fine-structure constant α can be expressed by volumes of certain symmetric spaces. Being a mathematician he was not able to put his observation into a convincing physical context. Therefore, his work was criticized as fruitless numerology [9].

Wyler’s idea was picked up later by F. D. Smith, Jr. [10] who extended Wyler’s heuristic approach into a general scheme based on a fundamental Spin(8) symmetry. Smith was then able to express coupling constants and relations of particle masses by characteristic volumes with a remarkable degree of precision.

Let us see how far our model will lead us and whether we possibly can find a physical explanation for these authors’ observations.

Consider the S-matrix element of electron-electron scattering (Møller scattering) (see e.g. [4]). In the conventional formulation of QED this term involves two vertices and two a^μ -operators. The latter result in an intermediate photon. It defines those intermediate states that contribute to the calculation of the scattering amplitude. In our formulation we have two $\tilde{a}^\mu$ -operators given by the integral (27) instead. Whereas, by definition, there is one and only one $a^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ for each $\mathbf{q}$, the multiplicity of $\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ with respect to $\mathbf{q}$ may be different. Our task will be to determine the multiplicity of $\tilde{a}^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ relative to $a^\mu(\mathbf{q})$ by “counting” the intermediate states that our approach allows for.

We already have parameterized the contributions to the interaction term by the parameters q and p' . The way in which the parameters q are used in the perturbation calculation defines the parameter space of q as (a subspace of) the euclidean R^3 . If we split off the q -dependency we are left with the integral over p' and our task will be to determine the multiplicity, or the integration volume respectively, of the contributions with respect to p' .

The basis for the evaluation of the integration volume is the particle momenta and the homogeneous Lorentz group acting on the particle momenta. The SO(3,1) acts transitively on a particles mass shell

$$p_0^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2 = m^2. \quad (34)$$

The independent parameters p_1, p_2, p_3 span a 3-dimensional parameter space. For a two-particle state of a representation with mass M we have instead

$$(p_0 + p'_0)^2 - (p_1 + p'_1)^2 - (p_2 + p'_2)^2 - (p_3 + p'_3)^2 = M^2. \quad (35)$$

We can convert this into

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^2 + p_0'^2 - p_1^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2^2 - p_2'^2 - p_3^2 - p_3'^2 \\ + 2p_0p'_0 - 2p_1p'_1 - 2p_2p'_2 - 2p_3p'_3 = M^2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

From (34) and (35) follows that

$$p_0p'_0 - 2p_1p'_1 - 2p_2p'_2 - 2p_3p'_3 = \kappa^2 \quad (37)$$

must be invariant. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^2 + p_0'^2 - p_1^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2^2 - p_2'^2 - p_3^2 - p_3'^2 \\ = M^2 - \kappa^2. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The symmetry group of this quadratic form is SO(6,2). Relation (37) reduces the number of independent parameters from 6 to 5 and thereby SO(6,2) to SO(5,2). SO(5,2) acts transitively on this 5-dimensional parameter space. Each point in this parameter space corresponds to a state in the two-particle state space $\mathcal{H}_M$. Therefore, the volume of the parameter space delivers a measure for the number of states that can contribute to the interaction term.

Given a point Q in this parameter space, then other points can be reached by applying a linear transformation of $SO(5,2)$ to Q . There are certain transformations that do *not* change the point Q . These transformations form the subgroup $S(O(5) \times O(2))$. This is the isotropy subgroup or stabilizer of Q . Therefore, to obtain the multiplicity of states, we have to start from the coset space $D_5 = SO(5,2)/S(O(5) \times O(2))$ rather than from $SO(5,2)$.

D_5 is a symmetric space. By construction D_5 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_M$. It is known from the work of Hua and Lu [5] that D_5 can be represented by matrices; that is, this symmetric space is isomorphic to the real hyperball

$$\mathcal{R}_R(5,2) = \{X \in R^{5 \times 2} \mid I - XX' > 0\}. \quad (39)$$

(See [6] for a modern introduction to symmetric spaces.) Hua [7] has calculated volumes of $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$ and other domains. In contrast to $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$ D_5 has an infinite volume.

Consider now a two-particle state $|\mathbf{P}\rangle$ with momentum P . Then there is another volume associated with D_5 . This is the subspace of all points that correspond to a situation where for one of the particles $p_0 = m$ and the other particle has reached its maximum value of $p'_0 = P_0 - m$. For reasons of symmetry this volume is spherical symmetric and isomorphic to the border sphere C_5 of D_5 . C_5 has 4 dimensions. Then all states with given P_0 are confined to a volume $\bar{D}_5$ inside of D_5 and including C_5 . The subspace $\bar{D}_5$ of D_5 is finite and can be mapped onto $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$ by an isometric mapping.

If $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_5)$ is a point of $\bar{D}_5$ that is mapped into a point $S = (s_1, \dots, s_5)$ of $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$, then we can establish a one-to-one relationship such that

$$q_i = r s_i, \quad (40)$$

where r is a properly chosen scaling factor. This gives us the choice to use either q_i in $\bar{D}_5$ or s_i in $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$ as integration parameters.

To be consistent with Smith's terminology we will calculate all volumes in $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$. We will use the notation $V(D_5)$ for the volume that corresponds to $\bar{D}_5$ but is calculated in $\mathcal{R}_R(5,2)$ and will remember that we have to apply the correct number of scaling factors r .

C_5 has another important property: If particle 1 is initially at rest and a second particle with a given momentum p' is added to form a two-particle state, then this state corresponds to a point on C_5 as described before. Other states can be generated from this "initial" state by the exchange of momentum. Therefore, to determine all states that are eventually involved we have first to collate all initial states. This means, we have to perform an integration over C_5 with a volume element $d^4s/V(C_5)$. This delivers a first volume factor of $1/V(C_5)$.

To collect all possible momentum changes of particle 1 we have to integrate over $\bar{D}_5$. From condition (21) it follows that for a given $|\mathbf{P}\rangle$ only momentum exchanges in the subspace perpendicular to P have to be considered. Since the direction of the total momentum is undetermined (when we are constructing the interaction operator), we have to keep the integration over D_5 . We compensate for this by a volume factor of $1/V(S_4)$ where $S_4 = SO(5,2)/SO(4,2) = SO(5)/SO(4)$ is the unit sphere in 4 dimensions. This reduces the number of independent parameters to 4. Let $(s_1, \dots, s_4)$ be a new set of independent parameters corresponding to a new set $(q_1, \dots, q_4)$.

If we integrate over $\bar{D}_5$ using this new parameter set, each s_i will be responsible for a contribution of $V(D_5)^{1/4}$ to the volume of $\bar{D}_5$. Three of these parameters can now be mapped onto the transferred momentum q . The fourth parameter s_4 , obviously, corresponds to a momentum transfer within each of the particle momenta, without any momentum transfer between the particles. Such transitions contribute to the volume of C_5 . We can perform the integration over s_4 and obtain a correcting factor to the already calculated volume $V(C_5)$ of $V(D_5)^{1/4}$.

There are two more factors that contribute to the multiplicity of momentum states. One is related to the spin components of the particle states, which give each momentum state a multiplicity of 4π because of the periodicity of spin states. The other factor is related to the (relative) phases of the momentum states within multiparticle states. By adding another factor of 2π we take into account this degree-of-freedom.

After extracting these constant factors from the integral we are left with an integration over the p' parameter space where now the integrand should enter with a multiplicity of one within the p' -parameter space - provided that we have correctly captured all factors that determine any multiplicities. Collecting these factors we end up with

$$8\pi^2 V(D_5)^{1/4} / (V(S_4) V(C_5)). \quad (41)$$

This is essentially Wyler's formula.

The application of (27) to a state $|\mathbf{p}\rangle$ now describes a transition to $|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}\rangle$ with a weight given by the square root of (41). (Remember that in our estimation (27) has entered twice.) This demonstrates that the weight factor has the property of a coupling constant.

The volumes $V(D_5)$ and $V(C_5)$ have been calculated by L. K. Hua [7]. $V(S_4)$ is the volume of the unit sphere S_4 in 4 dimensions. With

$$V(C_5) = \frac{8\pi^3}{3}, \quad (42)$$

$$V(D_5) = \frac{\pi^5}{2^4 5!}, \quad (43)$$

$$V(S_4) = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \quad (44)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{9}{8\pi^3} \left(\frac{\pi^5}{2^4 5!} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (45)$$

If we identify this value with the coupling constant $e^2/(2\pi)^2 = \alpha/\pi$ in the S-matrix element for Møller scattering we obtain a value for α

$$\alpha = 1/137.03608245. \quad (46)$$

Although intended only as an estimate, this result is in agreement with experimental values like $1 : 1.0000005$. (A value of 137.035 999 93(52) has been determined from the magnetic moment of the electron [11].)

We can easily convince ourselves that the scaling factors r either cancel or are absorbed in the volume element d^3q .

VI. CONCLUSION

A perturbational analysis of Gürsey's momentum spin has led us to a mathematical structure that is identical to the perturbation theoretical formulation of quantum electrodynamics. We have found an estimate of the coupling constant that is in excellent agreement with the experimental values of the electromagnetic coupling constant.

It is, therefore, very tempting to identify Gürsey's momentum spin with the current of quantum electrodynamics. This opens a new and simple access to the electromagnetic interaction in terms of standard quantum mechanics of multiparticle systems:

Electromagnetic interaction: Effects of a quantum mechanical operator term that takes care of the momentum spin in a perturbation treatment. It connects states of different momenta and, thus, causes transitions between these states.

Coupling constant: A constant that results from counting the possible intermediate states if we evaluate the interaction operator for two-particle states. It delivers a kind of mathematical signature for our construction of the interaction term.

Photon: Not a real physical particle but a mathematical bookkeeping item that keeps track of exchanged momenta, with “off-shell” behaviour.

Radiation field: Those photons that are exchanged over long distances. For such distances, the formalism of QED tells us that the exchanged momentum will be placed on the mass zero shell.

Off-shell particles: Off-shell behaviour of Dirac particles shows up very clearly as a property of the anti-commutation functions, rather than of particle states.

Quantized fields: There is no quality that goes beyond the properties of plain Fock space operators that are used to describe the multiparticle system of electrons and to build up a bookkeeping system for the exchanged momenta.

Vacuum polarization: A pictorial description of certain Feynman graphs without any real physical background. There is no “vacuum” other than the bare vacuum state of the Fock space representation.

Pair creation: Not really a creation process, but rather a transition from an anti-particle state (moving backward in time) to a particle state (moving forward in time).

Locality: Locality in the sense of interaction at a single point: the construction process of the interaction operator shows us how this follows directly from the structure of two-particle states which we have used as a basis.

Causality: Although our $SO(3,2)$ model can be understood as an action-at-a-distance theory we know very well that the formalism of QED is in agreement with relativistic causality.

Gauge invariance: Gauge invariance appears as a consequence of the existence of a charged current rather than vice versa.

Poincaré invariance: An approximation to a basic $SO(3,2)$ symmetry that is observed when the contributions of momentum spin compensate in systems with an equal amount of positive and negative electrical charges. Because of this compensation the Poincaré invariance is usually realized better than the translation operator (8) suggests.

We have been led to a theory of interacting fields by a “slight” modification of the basic symmetry: we have replaced the Poincaré group $P(3,1)$ by a de Sitter group $SO(3,2)$ and used the Poincaré symmetry as an approximation to the de Sitter symmetry. No additional assumptions - except basic principles of quantum mechanics - have been employed.

In contrast to the standard model, the coupling constant is uniquely determined by the theory.

We have deliberately ignored contributions of other higher order terms. Such terms still deserve more attention. They may lead to the formulation of different types of interaction.

- [1] E. İnönü and E. P. Wigner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **39**, 510-524 (1953).
- [2] F. Gürsey in: *Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics*, ed by Gürsey (Gordon and Breach, 1964).
- [3] E. Angelopoulos, M. Flato, C. Frønsdal, and D. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1278-1321 (1981).
- [4] G. Scharf, *Finite Quantum Electrodynamics*, (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1989 and 1995).
- [5] L. K. Hua and K. H. Look (= Lu, Qi-keng), Scientia Sinica **8**, 1031-1094 (1959)
- [6] J. Faraut, S. Kaneyuki, A. Korányi, Qi-keng Lu, G. Roos, *Analysis and Geometry on Complex Homogeneous Domains*, (Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin, 2000).
- [7] L. K. Hua, *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains*, (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1963).
- [8] A. Wyler, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris **269**, 743-745 (1969).
- [9] B. Robertson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 1545-1547 (1971).
- [10] F. D. Smith, Jr., Int. J. Theor. Phys. **24**, 155-174 (1985); **25**, 355-403 (1986).
- [11] T. Kinoshita, preprint, Conference on Precision Electromagnetic Measurements, CLNS96/1418 (1996).